

## Message Text

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 01 VIENTI 01065 190019Z  
ACTION EA-09

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 L-03 SCA-01 H-01 SCS-03 /018 W  
-----107209 190204Z /66

R 180935Z JUL 77  
FM AMEMBASSY VIENTIANE  
TO SECSTATE WUSHDC 8336  
INFO AMEMBASSY BANGKOK

C O N F I D E N T H A L VIENTIANE 1065

E.O. 11652: GDS  
TAGS: BDIS LA  
SUBJECT: AMCIT GOLDMACHER'S CLAIM TO 200 SHARES IN POUN SOUK  
COMPANY

REF: VIENTIANE 0884

1. IN A LETTER TO THE EMBASSY AMCIT ARTHUR GOLDMACHER EXPRESSED HIS DISSATISFACTION WITH THE LAO MINISTRY OF FOREIGN AFFAIRS' DIPLOMATIC NOTE NO. 240 (MAY 27, 1977) BECAUSE IT CLAIMED THAT "...THE SO-CALLED TRANSFER OF 200 SHARES (OF POUN SOUK COMPANY) WAS FABRICATED BY MR. PHOUANG SANANIKONE AND MR. ARTHUR B. GOLDMACHER WITH THE COMPLICITY OF A LAWYER IN VIENTIANE, WITHOUT THE KNOWLEDGE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND OTHER COMPANY SHAREHOLDERS" (REFTEL). GOLDMACHER ENCLOSED A COPY OF A NOTARIZED DOCUMENT IN FRENCH RELATING TO THE 1974 TRANSFER TO HIM OF 200 SHARES BELONGING TO SEVERAL PHOUN SOUK COMPANY DIRECTORS. HE NOTED THAT THE DIRECTORS SIGNED THE DOCUMENT AND THAT IT WAS PROPERLY REGISTERED AND RECORDED IN COURT ACCORDING TO LAO LAW EXISTING AT THAT TIME.

2. ALTHOUGH THE EMBASSY ENCLOSED A COPY OF THIS AND OTHER DOCUMENTS SUPPORTING GOLDMACHER'S LEGAL CLAIM TO OWNERSHIP OF 200 SHARES IN DIPLOMATIC NOTE NO. 672  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 VIENTI 01065 190019Z

TO THE MFA FOR CONSIDERATION, GOLDMACHER WOULD LIKE THE EMBASSY TO RESUBMIT THEM AS EVIDENCE THAT THE TRANSFER WAS CONDUCTED ACCORDING TO LAO LAW THAT EXISTED IN 1974. HE SAID THAT THE LPDR MFA "CONVENIENTLY OVER-LOOKED THE FACT" THAT THE TRANSFER WAS IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAO LAW IN ITS DIPLOMATIC NOTE NO. 240 AND THAT ITS CHARGE THAT HE FABRICATED THE TRANSFER WAS UNTRUE.

GOLDMACHER ASKED THE EMBASSY TO "CONTINUE TO PRESS FOR A MORE SUITABLE ANSWER FROM THE LAO GOVERNMENT" WITH RESPECT TO HIS EFFORTS TO BE RECOGNIZED AS A SHAREHOLDER OF THE POUN SOUK COMPANY.

3. COMMENT: EMBASSY SUBMITTED DIPLOMATIC NOTE NO. 672 REQUESTING THE LPDR UNDERTAKE TO ESTABLISH THE LEGAL STATUS OF THE 200 SHARES AND THE STATUS OF MR. GOLDMACHER'S CLAIM TO BE A SHAREHOLDER IN THE POUN SOUK COMPANY DECEMBER 29, 1975. THE MFA ONLY RESPONDED MAY 26, 1977. THE LAO LAWYER AND PUBLIC NOTARY PHAYKHAM SANKHAVICHITH, WHO NOTARIZED AND FILED THE DOCUMENT THAT RECORDED THE TRANSFER OF THE 200 SHARES, LEFT THE LPDR MARCH 1977 AND CAN NO LONGER BE CALLED UPON TO TESTIFY THAT THE TRANSACTION WAS INDEED IN ACCORDANCE WITH LAO LAW EXISTING AT THAT TIME. HOWEVER ARBITRARY AND UNFAIR ITS ACTION, WE SUSPECT THE LPDR PROVIDED ITS FINAL RULING ON THIS CASE IN ITS DIPLOMATIC NOTE NO. 240 (REFTEL). WE COULD SEND ANOTHER NOTE TO THE FOREIGN MINISTRY PRESENTING MR. GOLDMACHER'S REACTION TO THE LAO NOTE OF MAY 27, 1977. WE BELIEVE ON THE BASIS OF LPDR (AND PGNU) PERFORMANCE TO DATE THAT THIS WOULD SERVE ONLY TO REBUT FOR THE RECORD THE LPDR ALLEGATION OF A FABRICATED TRANSFER (PARA 3 REFTEL).

ACTION REQUESTED: DEPARTMENT'S INSTRUCTIONS.  
CONFIDENTIAL

CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 03 VIENTI 01065 190019Z

CORCORAN

CONFIDENTIAL

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 01-Jan-1994 12:00:00 am  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Sent Date:** 18-Jul-1977 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Date:** 01-Jan-1960 12:00:00 am  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 22 May 2009  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1977VIENTI01065  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Expiration:**  
**Film Number:** D770267-1026  
**Format:** TEL  
**From:** VIENTIANE  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1977/newtext/t19770745/aaaabmyw.tel  
**Line Count:** 95  
**Litigation Code IDs:**  
**Litigation Codes:**  
**Litigation History:**  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Message ID:** 5c771d68-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Office:** ACTION EA  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** 77 VIENTIANE 884  
**Retention:** 0  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 01-Feb-2005 12:00:00 am  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**SAS ID:** 1824670  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** AMCIT GOLDMACHER'S CLAIM TO 200 SHARES IN POUN SOUK COMPANY  
**TAGS:** BDIS, LA  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**vdkgvwkey:** odbc://SAS/SAS.dbo.SAS\_Docs/5c771d68-c288-dd11-92da-001cc4696bcc  
**Review Markings:**  
Margaret P. Grafeld  
Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
22 May 2009  
**Markings:** Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 22 May 2009